1	STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS
	NARRAGANSETT BAY COMMISSION
2	
3	
4	IN RE: MONTHLY BOARD MEETING OF THE COMMISSION
5	
6	
7	<b>DATE: JANUARY 24, 2007</b>
	TIME: 11:00 A.M.
8	NARRAGANSETT BAY COMMISSION
	CORPORATE OFFICE BUILDING
9	ONE SERVICE ROAD
	PROVIDENCE, RI 02905
10	
11	
12	MEMBERS PRESENT:
13	VINCENT MESOLELLA, CHAIRMAN
I	RAYMOND MARSHALL, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
14	MICHAEL SALVADORE
I	HONORABLE THOMAS LAZIEH
15	PATRICK CAINE
I	R. DAVID CRUISE
16	JOHN MACQUEEN
,	JONATHAN FARNUM

17 BRUCE CAMPBELL

	JOE KIMBALL
18	MIKE DICHIRO
F	ROBERT ANDRADE
19	LEO THOMPSON
	ALAN NATHAN
20	
21	
22	
23	RHODE ISLAND COURT REPORTING
	747 NORTH MAIN STREET
24	PROVIDENCE, RI 02904
	(401) 437-3366
	2
1	(COMMENCED AT 11:10 A.M.)
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning,
3	everyone. I trust everyone had a great holiday.
4	Happy New Year. Recognizing a quorum, we will
5	call the meeting of the Narragansett Bay
6	<b>Commission Board of Commissioners to order at</b>
7	11:10.
8	First order of business is the approval
9	of the previous minutes. Have all of our members
10	had an opportunity
11	MR. MARSHALL: We have two edits.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay we have two

- 13 edits on the minutes. Page 8 -- page 31, there's
- 14 four pages on each sheet. The bottom of the sheet
- 15 will say page 8, but it reflects it's pages 29
- 16 through 32. And on page 31, it says "The Hearing
- 17 Officer," what it should say is "The Chairman,"
- 18 and then the comment.
- 19 MR. LAZIEH: Is that the written
- 20 correction that was already added in?
- 21 MR. MARSHALL: Yes.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: And on page 9 at
- 23 the top of the page, which would be pages 33 to
- 24 36, it says "Mr. Anthony," it really should

- 1 reflect that it's Commissioner Andrade.
- 2 Having noted those corrections, have our
- 3 members had an opportunity to review the previous
- 4 minutes, and if so, are there any other comments
- 5 or questions regarding the minutes?
- 6 MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, make a
- 7 motion to accept in place and file the minutes as
- 8 corrected.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to
- 10 approve the previous minutes.
- 11 MR. SALVADORE: Second.
- 12 MR. FARNUM: Second.

13	THE CHAIRMAN: Second by
14	Commissioner Salvadore and Farnum; discussion on
15	the previous minutes? Is there discussion on the
16	previous minutes? Hearing none, all of those that
17	are in favor will say aye. Are there any opposed?
18	There are none opposed and the motion carries.
19	The next order of business is Old
20	Business. Item No. 3 is Old Business. Is there
21	any Old Business to come before the commission
22	today? Old Business?
23	MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, I want
24	to, first of all, I want to welcome our new
	4
	<b>→</b>
1	Executive Director to his first official meeting.
	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want
2	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want
2	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a
2 3 4	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a previous meeting I questioned at this board the
2 3 4 5	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a previous meeting I questioned at this board the status of our issue regarding Separation of
2 3 4 5 6	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a previous meeting I questioned at this board the status of our issue regarding Separation of Powers.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a previous meeting I questioned at this board the status of our issue regarding Separation of Powers.  And I have received a correspondence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a previous meeting I questioned at this board the status of our issue regarding Separation of Powers.  And I have received a correspondence dated January 17th that you sent out to all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Executive Director to his first official meeting.  That would be New Business, but secondly, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for I know at a previous meeting I questioned at this board the status of our issue regarding Separation of Powers.  And I have received a correspondence dated January 17th that you sent out to all the commissioners, I believe, the official action or

- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Not yet. That is a recommendation. I'm anticipating that this might 14 surface as an issue today. I had copies made in 15 16 case any of the commissioners did not receive a 17 letter and needed a copy of it. First of all, did everyone receive the letter? Does anyone need a 18 19 copy? MR. NATHAN: I just want to follow 20 21 up. I think that was very well written. **22** THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, this is 24 not the official action, it's just a 5 recommendation at this time? 1
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. I had planned
- 3 to put it before the board or get at least comment
- 4 on it, so I thought I would forward the letter. I
- 5 believe that is the position that the board took
- 6 at previous meetings. So I thought I would reduce
- 7 it to writing, get your reaction to it. I wasn't
- 8 sure whether or not it was going to be raised as
- 9 an issue today, but I came prepared with copies if
- 10 it was raised.
- 11 MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, I
- 12 believe that the issue is still very relevant, and

- 13 it will be before the General Assembly and before
- 14 the media at future times.
- 15 I think since this is a recommendation,
- 16 I would request -- I also believe it's
- 17 well-written, but it should be the official policy
- 18 or stand of the commission. I would make a
- 19 recommendation that we adopt this wording as our
- 20 official answer to any questions on Separation of
- 21 Powers, where we stand. I make that as a motion.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Just so everyone
- 23 makes sure that they have it, the language in
- 24 front of them -- let me accept your motion, first

- 1 of all.
- 2 MR. MACQUEEN: Second.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion,
- 4 seconded by Commissioner MacQueen, and now we're
- 5 on discussion of it. Is there any comment with
- 6 regard to the letter and the language contained in
- 7 the letter?
- 8 MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, maybe
- 9 we should have it read into the record first.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- 11 MR. LAZIEH: I think some people
- 12 may not know what it says.

13	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. With regard								
14	to future inquiries regarding the Narragansett Bay								
15	Commission's members position on issues regarding								
16	Separation of Powers, the following statement								
17	should be considered the official statement of the								
18	board.								
19	"The Narragansett Bay Commission's first								
20	responsibility is to fulfill its mission to								
21	preserve and to protect Narragansett Bay's water								
22	quality by delivering reliable wastewater								
23	collection and treatment services to our								
24	ratepayers at a reasonable cost. We will continue								
	7								
1	to work within whatever framework the General								
2	Assembly deems appropriate as we have done since								
3	our inception."								
4	That's the language of the letter. We								
5	have a motion and a second, further discussion?								
6	Commissioner Nathan.								
7	MR. NATHAN: Motion for approval								
8	of that.								
9	MR. DiCHIRO: Second.								
10	THE CHAIRMAN: We have another								
11	second. In such case, other comments, questions?								
12	MR. SALVADORE: I have a question.								

13	THE CHAIRMAN: Proceed.
14	MR. SALVADORE: Insofar as the
15	commission is concerned, the authority for
16	speaking, as I understand it, I just want to get
17	this certified, if you will, the authority to
18	speak for the commission is limited to the
19	Chairman and the Executive Director, so that
20	should I think what I'm getting is, I know what
21	I'm getting at is that should the media, the
22	newspaper, call one of the commissioners, they
23	don't have the authority to speak for the
24	commission?
	O

1	THE CHAIRMAN: I wouldn't say that
2	they don't have the authority. I would say that
3	no one, including the Chair, can speak for the
4	commission. We can all speak our own personal
5	opinions, but I mean, I think collectively, the
6	board, by vote of the board, should have a
7	position.
8	But individually, I mean, I don't see
9	how, and certainly I have no authority to place a
10	gag order on any members of the commission. But,
11	I mean, as a matter of procedure, the press
12	usually calls either our public relations person,

- 13 which is Jamie, or the Executive Director, but if
- 14 you were to get a call, I don't see any problem
- 15 with expressing your personal opinion, but
- 16 collectively, I mean, I think this is the position
- 17 of the board.
- 18 MR. MARSHALL: From the staff's
- 19 point of view, it's Public Affairs Manager Jamie
- 20 Samons, and then the Executive Director, and I was
- 21 also authorized to speak when I was Deputy
- 22 Director by Paul. So the three of us handled all
- 23 the media inquiries.
- We tried to funnel them through Jamie so

- 1 that we are keeping good logs of those types of
- 2 things. And anybody else on the staff, from time
- 3 to time, we'd have them speak on issues, sometimes
- 4 during a public meeting, and they had to give
- 5 their opinion or the commission's opinion, but
- 6 it's not an open-ended thing where anyone can
- 7 field questions from the press, from the staff
- 8 point of view.
- 9 MR. SALVADORE: Thank you.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Further discussion?
- 11 Hearing none, all of those that are in favor will
- 12 say aye. Are there any opposed? There are none

- 13 opposed and the motion carries. Thank you.
- 14 Further Old Business? We're still on Old
- 15 Business. Old Business?
- 16 Okay, moving right along. Presentation
- 17 of Certificate of Appreciation. Perhaps the
- 18 Executive Director can relate the matter of the
- 19 incident before we conduct the citation.
- MR. MARSHALL: What we have here
- 21 is the opportunity to recognize some employees and
- 22 Commissioner Andrade is going to read the
- 23 resolution in a moment.
- 24 They were out conducting their normal

- 1 duties and we had a little incident out on the
- 2 boat where a staff member -- there were three
- 3 people on the boat at the time -- tumbled into the
- 4 bay, and they reacted quickly. They did a great
- 5 job.
- 6 Either Tom or John Motta is going to
- 7 give a little bit of an explanation as to how we
- 8 attire them and the training that they go through,
- 9 but I think what we'll do is have Bob read the
- 10 Resolution of Appreciation at this point.
- 11 MR. ANDRADE: This is the
- 12 Resolution of Appreciation.

13	"Whereas on January 10, 2007,
14	environmental monitoring staff began a routine day
15	of bacteria monitoring in Narragansett Bay aboard
16	the NBC research vessel, R/V Monitor;
17	And whereas, on that date, one staff
18	member began to feel ill and shortly thereafter
19	fell off the boat and into the bay;
20	And whereas, EMDA monitoring technician
21	Jeff Tortorella and EMDA monitoring assistant Sara
22	Nadeau immediately initiated the man overboard
23	standard operating procedure;
24	And whereas, the staff member was
	11
1	quickly returned to the boat and transported to
2	Rhode Island Hospital for treatment, where it was
3	determined that his type 5 cold weather work suit,
4	which is designed to protect against hypothermia
5	and to keep an individual floating, had fulfilled
6	its function;
7	And whereas, all staff members followed
8	extensive NBC boat and safety standard operating
9	procedures in an extremely pressure intense
10	situation, and Jeff and Sara, in particular,
11	responded with promptness and precision;
12	Now, therefore be it resolved, that the

- 13 Narragansett Bay Commission shall express its
- 14 sincere appreciation to Jeff Tortorella and Sara
- 15 Nadeau for their quick action, cool heads in the
- 16 face of emergency, and concern over the safety of
- 17 their coworker." Presented on January 24, 2007.
- 18 MR. UVA: What we do is we have
- 19 extensive training for our monitoring staff. As
- 20 you noted, we were out there sampling. The
- 21 weather's been great this year, so we kept our
- 22 boat in the water right into January.
- 23 And we were sampling the beginning of
- 24 January, and we provide extensive training to our

- 1 teams, and we redrill this, we drill them, we have
- 2 safety equipment.
- This is a typical life vest that they
- 4 wear. This is an automatic inflation vest and
- 5 they retail for about \$150. And this is required
- 6 on the boat at all times. This will automatically
- 7 inflate if they fall in the water.
- 8 In the cold weather, we have this cold
- 9 water emergent suit. And this is a type 5
- 10 flotation device. And one of the sampling team
- 11 wasn't feeling well and Jeff, the ship's captain,
- 12 asked if he wanted to return to the dock. He

- 13 wanted to continue the job and get the job done
- 14 sampling that day.
- 15 It was the last run of the winter before
- 16 we hauled the boat the following week. He said he
- 17 was fine. He wanted to sit outside and get a
- 18 little air. And the boat going only going about 5
- 19 miles an hour, but he sat on the gunnel of the
- 20 boat and he fainted and he went overboard
- 21 backwards.
- 22 And Sara quickly threw him the life ring
- 23 and Jeffery hit the computer to lock in the wave
- 24 point and they spun the boat around and fished him

- 1 out of the water in about a minute or so, less
- 2 than a minute.
- 3 And they called the hospital, the
- 4 hospital rescue met them at the docks and they got
- 5 him right to the hospital for hypothermia
- 6 treatment.
- 7 But with this suit, Jeff indicated that
- 8 it looked like he was standing up in the water
- 9 because he was so high. The water level was about
- 10 waist high, so the safety training paid off, and
- 11 they did an exemplary job. I just want to thank
- 12 our team for doing that.

13	MR. MARSHALL: I think one of the						
14	things that's the most impressive about this is						
15	not just the fact that we have this equipment and						
16	do all the training, but that the employees are						
17	actually able to respond in a pressure situation						
18	like that, you know, and do the right thing.						
19	All the training in the world is a good						
20	thing, but then your people have to respond to						
21	that when the time actually comes, you know, to						
22	act, and they really did a fine job.						
23	THE CHAIRMAN: We were pleased to						
24	provide ear warmers and gloves for the						
	14						
1	14 commissioners. I would like to say there is no						
1 2							
_	commissioners. I would like to say there is no						
2	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation						
2	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do						
2 3 4	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do not come in baby blue. Okay.						
2 3 4 5	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do not come in baby blue. Okay.  Next order of business, the Executive						
2 3 4 5 6	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do not come in baby blue. Okay.  Next order of business, the Executive  Director's report; do you have a report,						
2 3 4 5 6 7	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do not come in baby blue. Okay.  Next order of business, the Executive  Director's report; do you have a report,  Mr. Secretary?						
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do not come in baby blue. Okay.  Next order of business, the Executive  Director's report; do you have a report,  Mr. Secretary?  MR. MARSHALL: Yes, I do.						
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	commissioners. I would like to say there is no intention at this time to provide floatation devices, and no, Commissioner MacQueen, they do not come in baby blue. Okay.  Next order of business, the Executive  Director's report; do you have a report,  Mr. Secretary?  MR. MARSHALL: Yes, I do.  THE CHAIRMAN: Proceed.						

- 13 afterwards all of you can give me some feedback as
- 14 to whether I hit too many highlights.
- 15 Paul was very efficient at this. I'm
- 16 going to be try to be equally efficient, but I may
- 17 talk a little longer than he normally does. Look,
- 18 the room's already emptying out and I haven't said
- 19 more than ten words.
- 20 MR. CAINE: Is that your standard
- 21 reaction?
- 22 MR. MARSHALL: Apparently that's
- 23 the way it's going to be, yes.
- Last month, we had very good treatment.

- 1 At Field's Point, we had numbers in the teens, and
- 2 at Bucklin Point, they were in the single digits.
- 3 Staff's doing a great job operating facilities
- 4 that we provided to them.
- 5 At Field's Point, there were no permit
- 6 violations last month. And as a matter of fact,
- 7 for the top four parameters; suspended solids,
- 8 BOD, fecals and chlorine residuals, there are a
- 9 potential 1716 permit potential violations, and
- 10 they only had one during the entire year. That's
- 11 quite a remarkable level of accomplishment.
- 12 Sludge removal, they removed on average

- 13 about 21 dry tons per day. Synagro is handling
- 14 that for us. We continue to make significant
- 15 progress on the asset management program.
- 16 Some of the miscellaneous things that
- 17 have happened. We've worked on numerous equipment
- 18 upgrades and tune-ups during the course of the
- 19 month. And we did have a group of science
- 20 students from Classical High School come through
- 21 the plant at Field's Point on a tour, and that was
- 22 in conjunction with the Save The Bay educators.
- 23 As we mentioned at a couple of the
- 24 meetings, that it will be a good thing to work

- 1 more closely with Save The Bay and this is the
- 2 first of hopefully many steps in that regard.
- 3 At Bucklin Point, the new facilities
- 4 continue to operate well. We received final O & M
- 5 manuals, we've worked on a few other systems in
- 6 the plant to try to fine-tune those. We've turned
- 7 sludge pumps into blowers, as an example.
- 8 Also at Bucklin Point, we hosted a
- 9 three-day collection system training program.
- 10 That was run by the New England Interstate Water
- 11 Pollution Control Commission. We were the host
- 12 facility for that.

13	In the Interceptor Maintenance Group, we
14	had no overflow events in December. And over the
15	course of the month, they did 385 inspections of
16	our regulators, 23 of which required some
17	attention, but there were no overflows that
18	occurred because they're on the ball there. They
19	follow a regular pattern every day, every week,
20	every month to make sure that those are clear and
21	operating properly.
22	They also did other various maintenance
23	items between the catch basin cleaning and the

1 hauled that up to the landfill.

In the month of December, the septage
receiving station in Lincoln, we received
approximately 670,000 gallons of septage, which
was from about 250 deliveries. They continue to
work on the interceptor cleaning project. They
inspected two miles of pipe in the last month.

We have three contracts that we have

24 sumps, they removed about 50 tons of grit and

We have three contracts that we have
vendors that we use to TV and clean our
interceptors. That totals about 25 miles of pipe,
which is about a quarter of our system, and those
projects are all wrapping up. They're all in the

- 13 high 90 percent completion range.
- We'll be having more coming out in the
- 15 next year or two. The idea is to get around to
- 16 inspect, clean and TV all of our facilities that
- 17 are out there in the collection system.
- 18 The Engineering section is busy. There
- 19 is a public hearing tomorrow night here in this
- 20 room and it has to do with the biological nutrient
- 21 removal project at Field's Point, what is being
- 22 proposed, the type of technologies, so we'll see
- 23 what we get for a crowd if, in fact, we have a
- 24 crowd tomorrow night.

- 1 And at that point, whatever comes up at
- 2 the public hearing, we have a certain amount of
- 3 time to respond and submit to DEM so that we can
- 4 continue to meet our consent agreement deadlines.
- 5 In the CSO phase 2 facility project,
- 6 which you approved \$9.2 million for engineering in
- 7 the last month or two, we're going to begin the
- 8 first phase of that. The conceptual design report
- 9 amendment is due at DEM in August of this year.
- 10 And the Louis Berger Group and Joe Pratt are ready
- 11 to really get rolling on that. There's a lot of
- 12 work to do in the next seven or eight months.

13	We also have a public meeting planned in
14	Lincoln for February 15th at 7:00 p.m. at the town
15	hall. We had a system-wide evaluation done of our
16	wastewater collection system where we've done a
17	lot of metering and identifying where the choke
18	points are in our system and what we need to do to
19	remedy those is where we are right now in terms of
20	evaluating the upgrades.
21	And this particular public meeting is in
22	the Louisquisset area which services Lincoln, the
23	southwest section of Lincoln; is that right, Tom?

MR. UVA: Yes.

24

12

19

1 MR. MARSHALL: So if you're interested in that, that's February 15th, 7:00 2 p.m. in the town hall. We continue to work on our 3 efforts up in the Cumberland area, identifying our 4 sewer location and the manholes and the easements 5 in Cumberland. 6 And the town of Johnston, we have an RFP 7 that we're working on. That will be going out to 9 have the Central Avenue Pump Station evaluated. 10 The project that last month you authorized us to 11 reject all bids, Sheridan/Oxford/Hartford Avenue,

that project is out to bid again. We'll be

13 (	pening	bids	<b>February</b>	/ 15th.	And	that's	the
------	--------	------	-----------------	---------	-----	--------	-----

- 14 project I think I mentioned earlier at the CEO
- 15 meeting where we revised some of the work and
- 16 added some work to that contract, so we'll see
- 17 what we get for prices on that job in the next
- 18 month or so.
- 19 The laboratory improvements for the HVAC
- 20 system, which the building is located behind us
- 21 here, that will be going out to bid in the next
- 22 couple of months and you have an action item under
- 23 CEO for that that we'll get to shortly.
- The construction, the incinerator

- 1 contract, the demolition of that, of those
- 2 facilities, that is now complete. All the paving
- 3 is done. On the tunnel contract, the contractor
- 4 is approaching a hundred percent completion. He
- 5 should be done sometime in the next couple of
- 6 months. He's involved in a lot of cleanup. He's
- 7 taking down the concrete plant.
- 8 The tunnel shaft, the main shaft where a
- 9 lot of you entered to go on the tours, he's done
- 10 lining that. That was at 55 feet diameter, it's
- 11 down to like 35 feet diameter now. So that's all
- 12 in place. That contract looks like it's going to

- 13 wrap up very successfully.
- 14 On one other CSO contract, the
- 15 Woonasquatucket River relief interceptor, where
- 16 Walsh Construction was the contractor on that.
- 17 For a while now we've known that he was going to
- 18 submit a claim for additional work and he has now
- 19 submitted that.
- We have been carrying somewhere around
- 21 2.1 million and he actually submitted at 1.9
- 22 million. We're in the process of evaluating that.
- 23 He's asking for arbitration. So we have the
- 24 attorneys looking at that and evaluating what our

- 1 response should be. We'll have to begin prepping
- 2 on that in the next few months.
- 3 The last CSO contract that has to be
- 4 awarded is the regulator modifications. You'll
- 5 have an action item on that at CEO shortly, and
- 6 that's the last of 13 contracts. The pump station
- 7 contract for the tunnel, they're doing a lot of
- 8 jet grouting on Ellis Street, which is just off of
- 9 Ernest, to support the box culvert before they
- 10 jack underneath it.
- 11 And on the screening building they built
- 12 right adjacent to the treatment plant, they're in

- 13 the process of closing that building in. The
- 14 Washington Highway/Omega Pond Pump Station up in
- 15 the Bucklin Point service district, the force main
- 16 across Omega Pond has been completed and the
- 17 Bucklin Point wastewater treatment facility
- 18 improvements that we put on line a year or so ago
- 19 have been operating well.
- We're still fine-tuning the processing
- 21 equipment. We're getting very good removals, even
- 22 into the cold weather. So that's encouraging.
- 23 We'll see how it operates next year. The permit
- 24 is May through October and then we'll have to

- 1 submit a report to DEM explaining what levels of
- 2 treatment we're getting and what we recommend
- 3 doing next.
- 4 Financially, you probably all heard
- 5 Karen's report. We're 50 percent of the way
- 6 through the year and we've spent 45 percent of the
- 7 budget and we currently have 241 people employed,
- 8 or that was the end of December we were at that
- 9 point.
- 10 The Policy Planning and Regulation
- 11 Division, there's one effort I want to note this
- 12 month, and that is the stormwater mitigation

- 13 process. Back in 2003 when we really began the
- 14 CSO project in earnest, there was a lot of talk
- 15 about what else we could do besides the big
- 16 construction project, so what we felt we could do
- 17 is work with the developers as they came in with
- 18 various proposals before us to try to get them to
- 19 keep stormwater out of our system.
- 20 Because historically, in the combined
- 21 areas when they proposed a development, they
- 22 really had nowhere else to go, they had no pipe to
- 23 go into, other than the combined system with their
- 24 stormwater. Wherever there was the opportunity,

- 1 we had them put it into a storm drain, but in a
- 2 lot of cases in the combined areas, I mean, they
- 3 would have to run it thousands and thousands of
- 4 feet in order to get to some other option.
- 5 So what we've been having them do is to
- 6 look at the infiltration basins, and it's actually
- 7 worked out very well because for a three-month
- 8 storm, which is what the CSO tunnel is based upon,
- 9 we've now been able to eliminate 2.6 million
- 10 gallons of flow which is about four or five
- 11 percent of the tunnel's capacity of 62 million.
- 12 And that grows, of course, in even

- 13 larger storms. For a 2-year storm, it would be
- 14 5.3 million and for a 25-year storm, 10.8 million
- 15 gallons of stormwater going into our system and
- 16 therefore into the tunnel, providing more
- 17 capacity, more capture, better results is what
- 18 we're aiming for.
- 19 So now this is along the lines of sewer
- 20 separation, but it's more proactive. And some of
- 21 the big projects that we've been able to employ
- 22 this on are the whole Rhode Island Hospital campus
- 23 improvement projects, Providence College had a big
- 24 project that they did where they worked with us.

- 1 They put a bunch of leaching basins
- 2 under some of their ball fields. So wherever we
- 3 have the opportunity to effect improvement like
- 4 this, we've been trying to take advantage of them.
- 5 So far, so good. We've been conducting hearing
- 6 tests for our staff.
- 7 Sewer connection permits. We had 34
- 8 last month, a total of 865 for the year, and that
- 9 has brought in about \$340,000 in permit fees. The
- 10 EMDA Group and the individuals who just received
- 11 the award were part of that group, they collected
- 12 over 1600 samples in the month of December,

13	bringing	the	total	for	2006	to over	22,000	samples
----	----------	-----	-------	-----	------	---------	--------	---------

- 14 they've collected.
- 15 The laboratory analyzed last month over
- 16 4200 samples. And that includes both the
- 17 receiving water as well as other treatment plant
- 18 samples.
- 19 The septage receiving facility, for the
- 20 year, received 9.4 million gallons of septage. At
- 21 one point in time, there was some discussion about
- 22 the value of this facility and how much activity
- 23 we were seeing out there.
- 24 As you can see, 9.4 million gallons of

- 1 septage, and that's pretty nasty stuff if you've
- 2 ever had any exposure to it. We are capturing
- 3 that and making sure it is properly disposed of.
- 4 We continue to work on our wind energy
- 5 project across the street. We have received a
- 6 building permit to put up what they call a "met
- 7 tower," a metrological tower. It should be going
- 8 up in the next several weeks, right?
- 9 MR. UVA: Next week.
- 10 MR. MARSHALL: We've worked with
- 11 the State Building Commission and Roger Williams
- 12 University. I believe it's their tower; is that

13 correct, Tom? 14 MR. UVA: The state of Rhode Island owns the Energy Office and Roger Williams 15 University loans that out and they take ownership 16 17 of it through contract. 18 MR. MARSHALL: So the next time they come down here for a meeting, the 19 commissioners will see it sticking up under the 20 skyline? 21 **22** MR. UVA: Absolutely. 23 MR. MARSHALL: So you have to look 24 for it next time you drive down the street. It's 26 1 about what? MR. UVA: It's 40 meters tall, 2 about 130 feet tall, and there'll be three 3 anonometers on there that will measure air speed. 4 It will be up for probably six months to a year. 5 and it will be used to finalize calculations to 6 see if it's cost effective to put up a wind 7 turbine that would be about 300 feel tall. One

wind turbine would probably provide about

MR. MARSHALL: The light poles

25 percent of the electric needed for the

8

10

11

12

facility.

- 13 over there, just for a point of reference, are
- 14 about 100 feet tall. So this will be about
- 15 40 feet higher than the light towers we have out
- 16 there.
- 17 In the Legal section, they continue to
- 18 work on projects such as the East Providence
- 19 merger. We'll have a report for you on that a
- 20 little later. And the campus unification effort,
- 21 we'll have a presentation for you on that toward
- 22 the end of the meeting.
- 23 The Wastewater Rules and Regulations
- 24 that Commissioner Lazieh asked about earlier in

- 1 the day, they went into effect on the 20th of
- 2 December. They've been filed with the Secretary
- 3 of State. And we had the closing on the Quality
- 4 Beef property or the property that was adjacent to
- 5 Quality Beef that they have now purchased. That
- 6 happened in mid-December.
- 7 Easements, based on the lien sale,
- 8 activity -- let me go back for second. The
- 9 easements, what legal is doing is compiling all
- 10 the information on all the easements throughout
- 11 the district. In some towns, that's not that
- 12 difficult of a challenge. In others, it's very

- 13 difficult. So they've been working hard on that.
- 14 And now on the lien sale, which was
- 15 November 16th. We had 55 accounts that were all
- 16 sold, \$118,800. Legal staff as well as customer
- 17 service did a great job on that. We are now in
- 18 the process of recording the deeds in the various
- 19 cities and towns.
- 20 Public affairs is working on the annual
- 21 report. CSO, DVD revision. We've submitted
- 22 several projects here at the Bay Commission for
- 23 NACWA awards and the poster calendar is available
- 24 for distribution. I don't know if there are any

- 1 copies down here today.
- 2 I have two other items that I want to
- 3 make you aware of that aren't in the report. One
- 4 is last week we received a letter from the EPA and
- 5 it was in conjunction with DEM. All 30 owners of
- 6 sewer systems in the state received it, and what
- 7 it says is that they're going to be aggressively
- 8 pursuing the elimination of sanitary sewer
- 9 overflows, which are dry weather overflows, in the
- 10 state of Rhode Island.
- 11 In their opinion, there are too many of
- 12 them and they want to use Rhode Island as a model

- 13 apparently, for not only New England, but the rest
- 14 of the nation, and we're a small enough state for
- 15 them to do that. They are going to have a
- 16 combination of education and enforcement that is
- 17 supposed to get everyone to understand the
- 18 importance of it.
- 19 Many of the things that they want done
- 20 we are already in the process of doing. Items
- 21 like asset management, a CMON plan, TV-ing and
- 22 inspecting all of our sewers, have a capital
- 23 improvement program, having regular maintenance
- 24 runs by the interceptor maintenance crews, going

- 1 out and checking the regulators, you know, things
- 2 of that nature, keeping logs, all of that.
- 3 So, while I'm not claiming we're
- 4 perfect, we certainly, I think, have a leg up on
- 5 all of this. At the Rhode Island League of Cities
- 6 and Towns meeting tomorrow, the EPA is going to be
- 7 having an information session for all the people
- 8 who have received these letters to explain what
- 9 they're going to do.
- They'll probably be asking most of the
- 11 owners to sign administrative orders which will
- 12 spell out what they need to do and when they need

13	to do it by	, so I'll keep	you posted as this
----	-------------	----------------	--------------------

- 14 continues to develop. Again, I think we're in
- 15 very good shape with all the forward thinking that
- 16 our staff has done on these items over the years.
- 17 There are probably some owners in the
- 18 state that don't have any of these programs in
- 19 place, and as a result, we could be reading about
- 20 it in the newspapers. And if you have any
- 21 additional questions as you read the story, feel
- 22 free to give me a call and we can discuss it
- 23 further.
- The second item is the New England Water

- 1 Environment Association, which is an arm of the
- 2 Water Environment Federation on a national level,
- 3 has selected the NBC for its 2006 Excellence
- 4 Award. That award is being presented up in Boston
- 5 today at their annual meeting. Paul Nordstrom is
- 6 up there receiving it on our behalf, but
- 7 representatives from NEWEA have also agreed to
- 8 come down here and make a presentation at one of
- 9 our future board meetings so that our operations
- 10 staff can get the credit that they so richly
- 11 deserve.
- 12 Those are the two things that I wanted

- 13 to let you know about that weren't on the or in
- 14 the report. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I am
- 15 finally done.
- 16 This is the initial award that NEWEA is
- 17 giving. They hope to make it an annual award, but
- 18 we're the very first recipient of it. We're
- 19 really honored to have been selected out of all
- 20 the utilities in the New England area. And that's
- 21 a credit to our operations staff.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Having heard
- 23 the Executive Director's report, does anyone have
- 24 any questions with regard to the report, any

- 1 questions needing clarification? Commissioner
- 2 Campbell.
- 3 MR. CAMPBELL: It would be helpful
- 4 if he notes the page he's on as he switches from
- 5 topic to topic. Sometimes I get lost.
- 6 MR. MARSHALL: Okay. I'll do
- 7 that.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: The next order of
- 9 business, committee reports and action items
- 10 resulting. The first committee reporting is the
- 11 Finance Committee. Commissioner Andrade, do you
- 12 have a report for us today?

13	MR. ANDRADE: The Finance		
14	Committee has no report for the board today,		
15	Mr. Chairman.		
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Moving		
17	right along, the CEO Committee. Chairman		
18	Salvadore had to leave. There were two matters		
19	before the CEO Committee.		
20	First is Item A, review and approval of		
21	Resolution 2007:01, award of Contract 06:907.00RS,		
22	Construction-Related Services for Laboratory HVAC		
23	Improvements.		
24	The resolution is contained in your		
	32		
1	packet. The Construction, Engineering and		
1 2			
2	packet. The Construction, Engineering and		
2	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve		
2	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee.		
2 3 4	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee. The copy of the resolution, like I say, is in your		
2 3 4 5	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee. The copy of the resolution, like I say, is in your packet. I would move that we approve Resolution		
2 3 4 5 6	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee. The copy of the resolution, like I say, is in your packet. I would move that we approve Resolution 2007:01.		
2 3 4 5 6 7	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee. The copy of the resolution, like I say, is in your packet. I would move that we approve Resolution 2007:01. MR. ANDRADE: I'll second it.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee. The copy of the resolution, like I say, is in your packet. I would move that we approve Resolution 2007:01.  MR. ANDRADE: I'll second it. THE CHAIRMAN: We have a second.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	packet. The Construction, Engineering and Operations Committee voted unanimously to approve the award for services to Camp, Dresser and McKee. The copy of the resolution, like I say, is in your packet. I would move that we approve Resolution 2007:01.  MR. ANDRADE: I'll second it. THE CHAIRMAN: We have a second. MR. DiCHIRO: Second.		

- 13 Commissioner Andrade; is there further discussion?
- 14 Further discussion? Hearing none, all of those
- 15 that are in favor will say aye. Are there any
- 16 opposed? There are none opposed and that motion
- 17 carries.
- 18 The next order of business is Item B,
- 19 which is review and approval of Resolution
- 20 2007:02, recommendation to award Contract
- 21 302.13-C, regulator modifications.
- 22 Our Executive Director explained that
- 23 the low bidder, Grove Construction, has rescinded
- 24 his bid as a result of a mathematical computation

- 1 mistake. The committee recommended that the
- 2 second low bidder, Rosciti Construction, be
- 3 awarded the contract, unanimously voted by the CEO
- 4 Committee.
- 5 And I would move then that we approve
- 6 Resolution 2007:02, recommendation to award
- 7 Contract 302.13C, regulator modifications, to
- 8 Rosciti Construction Company, LLC, in the amount
- 9 of \$2,016,766.
- MR. CAINE: Second.
- 11 MR. LAZIEH: Second.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Second by

- 13 Commissioner Caine and Commissioner Lazieh.
- 14 Discussion on the matter? Further discussion on
- 15 the matter? Hearing none, all those that are in
- 16 favor will say aye. Are there any opposed? There
- 17 are none opposed and the motion carries.
- 18 There was no other business to come
- 19 before the Construction, Engineering and
- 20 Operations Committee. Other committees reporting;
- 21 Committee on Personnel?
- 22 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. While the
- 23 Personnel Committee met and we considered one
- 24 action item, the modification to the

- 1 organizational chart. And would you like to
- 2 address that, Ray? Is this something we have to
- 3 vote on?
- 4 MR. MARSHALL: It's not anything
- 5 that the full board has to vote on. It's just the
- 6 Personnel Committee, all we did was we swapped two
- 7 positions. One from legal to customer service,
- 8 one from customer service to legal, and there's no
- 9 impact on the budget.
- 10 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Next order of
- 12 business is the next committee reporting is the

- 13 Legislative Committee. I don't believe there was
- 14 any report. Rules and Regulations did not meet.
- 15 MR. LAZIEH: There was no report,
- 16 no committee meeting.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: No report.
- 18 Long-range Planning.
- 19 MR. CRUISE: No report.
- THE CHAIRMAN: No meeting, no
- 21 report. Citizens Advisory Committee. Harold, how
- 22 are you? Welcome this morning.
- 23 MR. GADON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 The CAC did meet Wednesday, January 17th with a

- 1 quorum present. We had an excellent presentation
- 2 by Richard Bernier, construction manager, on the
- 3 present status of the CEO and the pipeline. It's
- 4 amazing what is being accomplished.
- 5 Executive Director Ray Marshall was also
- 6 present and enlightened us as to his discussions
- 7 with East Providence with regard to a big maybe as
- 8 to whether NBC may at some time take over the
- 9 operation of the city-owned sewer system, which is
- 10 again on today's agenda.
- On March 28th, the CAC meeting will be
- 12 held in our neighbor's building, Save The Bay. We

- 13 will hold our regular meeting there and have on
- 14 the agenda what is interesting to both of us.
- 15 Save The Bay and NBC have the same goals of clean
- 16 water, air and land, although they take different
- 17 paths to achieve the goal.
- 18 The board does have a member who is on
- 19 our board and also the Save The Bay board as well
- 20 as the CAC. All are invited and I hope that some
- 21 of you will attend. We did receive the 2007 NBC
- 22 calendars and we compliment those responsible for
- 23 its composition.
- 24 CAC, of course, supports the NBC

- 1 position as stated in the Chairman's letter of
- 2 January 17th. Our next meeting is February 21st.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very
- 5 much, Harold. Next order of business is the
- 6 Executive Committee or the Chairman's report.
- 7 The first thing I would like to raise is
- 8 the issue of our next meeting which is scheduled
- 9 for March 7th. Please make a note of that. You
- 10 will receive your notice.
- 11 The next item I would like to discuss
- 12 just briefly and we're going to hear more about a

- 13 little later is the status of evaluation of East
- 14 Providence wastewater system acquisition. We did
- 15 meet earlier last week with Commissioner Caine,
- 16 Mr. Brown, who is the city manager, and the city
- 17 solicitor, the executive director, and Laurie
- 18 Horridge to discuss possibilities of acquiring the
- 19 East Providence wastewater system.
- There were obviously no conclusions
- 21 reached. There is a report that the Executive
- 22 Director would like to share with the
- 23 commissioners. Might as well do it at this time.
- 24 MR. MARSHALL: Sure. What's being

- 1 passed out is a memo that Paul Nordstrom and Tom
- 2 Brueckner put together for me that evaluates the
- 3 engineering evaluation work that has been done so
- 4 far on the project. CDM, Camp, Dresser and McKee,
- 5 was under contract with the city of East
- 6 Providence to evaluate their wastewater system.
- 7 They're been doing some work over there for
- 8 several years now.
- 9 And so the city amended the contract to
- 10 have them do an evaluation of what it would take
- 11 to not only make the improvements in place in East
- 12 Providence, but also alternatively bringing the

- 13 flow to Field's Point from East Providence. That
- 14 is to go under the Providence River.
- 15 At the same time, we had a couple of our
- 16 consultants, including Joe Pratt and the Louis
- 17 Berger Group, look at what impact bringing the
- 18 flows from East Providence to Field's Point would
- 19 have on the CSO Program. And we had another
- 20 consultant, SEA and CH2M-Hill, who was doing our
- 21 nitrogen work at Field's Point, look at what
- 22 impact that extra flow from East Providence would
- 23 have on the nitrogen improvements that we've been
- 24 contemplating.

- 1 And basically what this memo tells you
- 2 is that to make the improvements in place in East
- 3 Providence would run about 53 million dollars.
- 4 Alternatively, to bring the flow to Field's Point,
- 5 that alone would cost 74 million. And in
- 6 addition, we'd have to spend about another 50
- 7 million over and above the nitrogen improvements
- 8 we've already planned.
- 9 So by far, the most cost effective
- 10 solution would be to keep the East Providence
- 11 flows in East Providence and to treat them at
- 12 those locations. We did also look at bringing

- 13 some of the flow to Bucklin Point, but that turned
- 14 out to be even more expense. And Bucklin Point
- 15 doesn't have a lot of capacity compared to Field's
- 16 Point, just in terms of average daily flow without
- 17 even getting into the whole nitrogen issue.
- 18 So I thought this would give you
- 19 something that you could contemplate. When we met
- 20 with the city last week as the Chairman pointed
- 21 out, we explained that to them. They asked us to
- 22 draft a letter, which I'm working on now,
- 23 outlining our position and to transmit it to the
- 24 city formally, which we'll do in the next couple

- 1 of days.
- 2 Once we do that, we'll send all of you a
- 3 copy. And it basically offers if they want to
- 4 continue to move forward, that would be the option
- 5 we would pursue. There has been some discussion
- 6 apparently within the city as to whether the NBC
- 7 is the best entity to help them address this issue
- 8 or whether they should do it themselves or maybe
- 9 consider the private market. That is totally up
- 10 to them. That is their prerogative.
- We have updated DEM on where we are in
- 12 the process because they've had a continuing

- 13 interest. If the city wants to continue to move
- 14 forward with us, we have a lot more work to do.
- 15 Now all the real tough detail work begins on items
- 16 such as the financial implications of the
- 17 collective bargaining agreement, that is the labor
- 18 agreement; all the permitting issues, although
- 19 Laurie has been working with the city solicitor on
- 20 that in terms of negotiating a consent agreement
- 21 that we could be comfortable with; if the city in
- 22 fact turns it over to us, the pretreatment issues,
- 23 sewer use ordinance, and there's several other
- 24 items.

- 1 So that's basically where we are. We
- 2 have made good progress in a short amount of time.
- 3 There's a lot more work to do. And I think maybe
- 4 Commissioner Caine wants to add his thoughts to
- 5 what's gone on so far.
- 6 MR. CAINE: Just from my
- 7 perspective being a former city councilman in East
- 8 Providence, and this is one of the big issues that
- 9 we have in East Providence is trying to figure out
- 10 what we do with the plant. It's an old plant.
- 11 The city of East Providence does not
- 12 necessarily have the technical capability of

- 13 moving forward to make those changes, although
- 14 that's obviously something that the city is
- 15 looking at right now.
- 16 From my perspective, the third party,
- 17 going out to a private party is not the solution.
- 18 The solution is either for East Providence to do
- 19 it themselves or for the Narragansett Bay to do it
- 20 going forward.
- 21 The one thing I did talk to Ray about is
- 22 the EPA's latest -- taking a look at what Rhode
- 23 Island wastewater facility treatment plants need
- 24 to comply with. And most of the things that NBC

- 1 has, East Providence does not have. There's a
- 2 very limited capital program out there, a very
- 3 limited maintenance program.
- 4 So my goal is to try to move forward
- 5 with the city council, with the city solicitor,
- 6 and with the city manager to at least educate them
- 7 as to what Narragansett Bay is all about. I think
- 8 that's still missing from a public perception
- 9 perspective.
- 10 You know, I think there's a perception
- 11 with the CSO project that rates -- ratepayers for
- 12 the NBC are going to be paying \$1,200, on average,

- 13 in three years. That's not necessarily the case,
- 14 and Karen preparing that is just something that
- 15 will help me, at least from that perspective.
- 16 I don't have a read yet on where they
- 17 want to go, but it's certainly my goal to have
- 18 them at least become educated on what the NBC
- 19 does. We're obviously subject to the PUC, which I
- 20 think is significant from a ratepayer's
- 21 perspective.
- Taking a look at there's a separate body
- 23 that has to look at where the rates go, but to me
- 24 personally, this is just becoming such a

- 1 complicated venture from a wastewater treatment
- 2 facility perspective that it's very difficult for
- 3 any individual city or town to handle it.
- 4 So the idea is over the next at least
- 5 month or so is to educate, from my perspective,
- 6 educate the city council members that are
- 7 currently there and try to figure out where we can
- 8 go, but the pressure points from East Providence's
- 9 perspective is there is a requirement that they
- 10 need to be -- we need to move forward in East
- 11 Providence, fixing a little bit of the system,
- 12 dealing with DEM, getting that permit in place and

- 13 making sure that that permit, I think the meeting
- 14 at least solved one basic thing, which is if
- 15 Narragansett Bay were to take over, that that
- 16 permit is an acceptable permit from NBC's
- 17 perspective. And that's a big piece of it.
- 18 The next piece of it is that East
- 19 Providence needs to commit to making certain
- 20 changes in a very short period of time. I'm not
- 21 sure they're prepared to do that at this point in
- 22 time. Again, my goal as a commissioner here, I'm
- 23 not on the city council anymore, but I'm certainly
- 24 an East Providence resident, is to at least make

- 1 the East Providence City Council and the folks in
- 2 East Providence understand what commitments need
- 3 to be made from East Providence's perspective to
- 4 make that plant a modern plant and to meet the DEM
- 5 permit that's out there.
- 6 I think that's a humongous task for East
- 7 Providence to do on its own personally. So I
- 8 would certainly encourage and like to have the NBC
- 9 come in and take over. That's kind of my goal,
- 10 but it's still going to be -- I'm not the guy who
- 11 votes on it.
- 12 There's five other folks who are going

- 13 to be voting on it, but hopefully we can help
- 14 educate them and make them understand what the
- 15 benefits are from the NBC's perspective. At least
- 16 that's the game plan. Thank you.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The
- 18 meeting was productive in the sense that both
- 19 parties put their positions on the table. And as
- 20 soon as Ray generates this letter and you do your
- 21 affairs with the powers that be, we'll get a
- 22 better sense of where we are on this issue and
- 23 come back to the board.
- 24 MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, just a

- 1 question on the subject. Actually, two questions.
- 2 One, do we have a time schedule of when things
- 3 need to be done? And how long this is going to
- 4 take, this process? And secondly, if the NBC
- 5 Commission does acquire the East Providence
- 6 system, what type of an impact financially would
- 7 it be on the ratepayers?
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, two things.
- 9 I'm not aware of any time constraints that we're
- 10 under except the permit, the Consent Agreement.
- 11 MR. CAINE: The time constraint's
- 12 really on East Providence's perspective because

- 13 they need to move forward based on that permit.
- 14 We're doing everything that we need to do to meet
- 15 the DEM permit that NBC currently has.
- 16 So, it's really up to East Providence.
- 17 They're the ones -- the city of East Providence is
- 18 the one that has the time constraints, more so
- 19 than us.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: From our
- 21 perspective, there's no time constraints on us.
- 22 And the second thing is, I think, is once and if
- 23 the board is so inclined, the vote to approve the
- 24 acquisition or merger, as the case may be, I think

- 1 it's incumbent upon us to provide a rate study
- 2 projection, so we'll see.
- 3 I don't think we've done that. We're
- 4 not at that point yet and that is -- once we know
- 5 from East Providence that there's a willingness to
- 6 proceed with discussions, then we're going to
- 7 commit hundreds of man-hours to that effort. And
- 8 as part of that effort, we'll make a determination
- 9 on where the rates may go. We'll be doing some
- 10 rate projection study.
- 11 MR. CAINE: I may want to add, if
- 12 you don't mind, Mr. Chairman, just adding one

- 13 thing, that brings up really probably the biggest
- 14 topic which is most folks come to the NBC, whether
- 15 it's Cranston, Woonsocket, whoever it may be,
- 16 always comes to the NBC and NBC does a boatload of
- 17 work, which may or may not be reimbursed, but then
- 18 doesn't necessarily have a finalized agreement.
- 19 I think the idea in this particular case
- 20 is to get a firm commitment from East Providence,
- 21 provided they want to commit, and then move
- 22 forward. And then it's not NBC doing the work and
- 23 then some third party getting the benefit of that
- 24 at a later date which has happened, I know, in the

- 1 past.
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: We've been down the
- 3 road on that. So we're not going to do that
- 4 again.
- 5 MR. CAINE: So the idea is to get
- 6 that commitment, have them understand what the
- 7 process is, at least from NBC's perspective. Have
- 8 East Providence, if they want to go out to third
- 9 party bid or do something else, they can certainly
- 10 do that in the interim, there's no rush on our
- 11 part to do anything, but there's certain
- 12 commitments East Providence has to make to DEM in

- 13 a relatively short fashion. So I know it's going
- 14 to be incumbent on East Providence to make that
- 15 decision, I think relatively quickly, at least the
- 16 next couple of months.
- 17 MR. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, a
- 18 question for Commissioner Caine.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
- MR. ANDRADE: I know that the
- 21 plant only takes part of the city because we
- 22 use -- NBC has a part of East Providence; do you
- 23 have any idea on the number of users that if the
- 24 city decided to keep, that cost would be spread

- 1 over? I think it would be quite high.
- 2 MR. CAINE: I'm trying to think of
- 3 how many residents there are in East Providence,
- 4 but two-thirds of the residents would support
- 5 really the 53 million dollar price tag. There's
- 6 no question about that. It would be very
- 7 difficult, I think, from a rate perspective to do
- 8 that.
- 9 They're currently taking a look at doing
- 10 some calculations for that, just sort of back of
- 11 the envelope calculations, but that's one of the
- 12 biggest issues, what's the capacity of the folks,

- 13 and really the center of the city and Riverside to
- 14 handle that kind of a price tag over a relatively
- 15 short period of time.
- 16 The bigger issue, from my perspective,
- 17 is not necessarily the price tag though, it's the
- 18 continuing complexity with wastewater treatment
- 19 and the ability for a city the size of East
- 20 Providence, it's a decent size city, but it's
- 21 certainly only one of 39 cities and towns in Rhode
- 22 Island to be able to have the technical capability
- 23 to perform under either EPA's guidelines, DEM's
- 24 guidelines, and the systems and tools that are

- 1 needed, I think, that are already in existence
- 2 from the NBC's perspective, which would be more
- 3 add-ons as opposed to new systems.
- 4 I think that's the piece of it that I
- 5 think a lot of folks don't really understand. And
- 6 that's what we're going to try to educate them, so
- 7 that they do understand. The cost for East
- 8 Providence is not 53 million. It's going to be 53
- 9 million plus whatever those systems and tools need
- 10 to be put in place. And it's just really making
- 11 sure that folks understand it.
- 12 It's funny, because when I got on the

13	city council and then I became a member here, one
14	of the biggest issues that I continue to hear from
15	a resident's perspective is wastewater treatment's
16	under the road. Nobody cares about it. They
17	flush their toilet. They go away. They think
18	it's handled in a very easy fashion.
19	They don't understand really what NBC
20	does or frankly even from East Providence's
21	perspective what it takes to actually handle that
22	in the way that you need to under a DEM permit.
23	One of the ideas is try to at least
24	educate both city council members and also folks
	49
1	in the city, you know, to the complexities of
2	that. So, thanks.
3	MR. NATHAN: You say East
4	Providence, isn't Barrington part of that as well?
5	MR. CAINE: Absolutely.
6	MR. NATHAN: I don't know how many
7	residents or how many households there are, but
8	that's added into that.
9	MR. CAINE: Absolutely.
10	MR. NATHAN: Is there any
	,

MR. CAINE: At this point, I'm

- 13 trying to help you deal with the East Providence
- 14 perspective because we're the ones that run the
- 15 plant, but certainly there's a portion of
- 16 Barrington that flows through the pipes and is
- 17 handled at the East Providence facility.
- 18 I think Barrington, my assumption is --
- 19 my understanding is, at least, that Barrington
- 20 residents, I don't think really care in the long
- 21 run who handles it, just that it's handled
- 22 correctly. At least that's my understanding, at
- 23 least, talking to some folks from Barrington.
- 24 But I think the bigger issue, from my

- 1 perspective, from an education perspective, is
- 2 having folks understand what that cost really is
- 3 in the long run and how you actually spread it
- 4 out. Because certainly the 53 million would be
- 5 spread out over some of the rate payers in
- 6 Barrington that are part of that facility.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Further discussion?
- 8 Okay. Along the previous discussion regarding
- 9 Separation of Powers issue, I would just like to
- 10 inform the members that I have received a letter,
- 11 a communication from the Speaker of the House,
- 12 Speaker Murphy, informing me that -- I don't know

- 13 if there's anyone here today, but that as a result
- 14 of removing legislators from all commissions and
- 15 boards in the state, that they're going to be
- 16 sending a representative from the Speaker's Office
- 17 to each of our meetings to observe and not
- 18 participate in discussions and then report back,
- 19 which I don't understand exactly. They're an
- 20 oversight for our commission, House and Senate
- 21 Oversight Commissions established?
- 22 MR. CRUISE: They haven't worked
- 23 that out yet, but the Senate has been sending
- 24 representatives of our Policy Office to all the

- 1 quasi agencies and boards and commissions that
- 2 legislators previously had served on.
- We've been doing that for two years, and
- 4 the House is going to start doing the same thing.
- 5 There will be an oversight function of the
- 6 Oversight Committee that will probably be more
- 7 formalized at some point, but in the interim, this
- 8 is the way they're going to do it.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm just informing
- 10 the members that as a result of that
- 11 communication, the House -- no one from the Senate
- 12 has -- you're on the board.

13	MR. CRUISE: That's why. we
14	spared someone from the Senate from coming here.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I just
16	wanted to inform the members. The next item I
17	wanted to discuss was the land acquisition
18	adjacent to Field's Point, and I was going to
19	update the members on the status of that.
20	But it occurred to me that there were
21	several members who have been on the board that
22	missed the previous presentation and several
23	members of the board who were not on the board
24	when we made our initial presentation regarding
	52
1	52 the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who
1 2	
2	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who
2	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of
2	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as
2 3 4	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as to what the land acquisition involves, her
2 3 4 5	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as to what the land acquisition involves, her discussion of who she has been communicating with,
2 3 4 5 6	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as to what the land acquisition involves, her discussion of who she has been communicating with, and more importantly, why this acquisition is now
2 3 4 5 6 7	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as to what the land acquisition involves, her discussion of who she has been communicating with, and more importantly, why this acquisition is now more important than ever.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as to what the land acquisition involves, her discussion of who she has been communicating with, and more importantly, why this acquisition is now more important than ever.  So Laurie, are you going to do this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the acquisition, so I asked Laurie Horridge, who has been in communication with the city of Providence, to give us an informal presentation as to what the land acquisition involves, her discussion of who she has been communicating with, and more importantly, why this acquisition is now more important than ever.  So Laurie, are you going to do this overhead or as a handout?

- 13 right here, we're in that lot right there. Our
- 14 plant is this big one over here and Allen's Avenue
- 15 would be down here.
- So, you came in here, down our street,
- 17 and you're sitting in here. And the green
- 18 properties are the ones that we're going to
- 19 discuss today. This is the Providence, they call
- 20 it the "storage barn." They haven't really used
- 21 it for too much in the last few years.
- They have been considering talking about
- 23 using it as a transfer station, but essentially
- 24 it's a giant brick building, just sort of sitting

- 1 in the corner of our property, our plant property,
- 2 and they really haven't done much with it in quite
- 3 a long time.
- 4 This right here, of course, is Service
- 5 Road and that little jug right there is the dog
- 6 pound, which, of course, is right across the
- 7 street. We had begun discussing in 2003 and the
- 8 Chairman correctly mentioned that we realized that
- 9 the majority of you actually weren't even here for
- 10 the original discussion and then decided to update
- 11 everyone last month and you didn't know what he
- 12 was updating.

So, we had	originally	discussed	putting
	So, we had	So, we had originally	So, we had originally discussed

- 14 together a unification plan for the NBC campus.
- 15 And that was just simply to simplify things, to
- 16 make it one neat package so that maybe this would
- 17 be ours and we'd get the dog pound out of here,
- 18 and maybe we could put a gate right here and this
- 19 whole thing would be our property. That was in
- 20 2003.
- 21 Since then, we've signed the DEM permit
- 22 that requires nitrogen removal and as a result,
- 23 these properties have become even more important
- 24 to us. In fact, probably absolutely necessary.

- 1 This right here would allow us tankage
- 2 to meet the nitrogen removal requirements that
- 3 we're coming up against and we might even be able
- 4 to put something right here, we don't know, maybe
- 5 another tank or whatever.
- 6 In 2003, we did some appraisals on the
- 7 properties and we had some phase 1 environmental
- 8 study documents done. The dog pound was valued at
- 9 about 165,000. It's on a quarter acre and it's
- 10 just that small building there. It has very low
- 11 environmental liability. Service Road, and this
- 12 is we're facing north now, was valued at 250,000.

13	Somebody asked at the last presentation
14	I did why we're not if we owned the dog pound,
15	then we could abandon this street. The reality is
16	we'd have to either relocate all the utilities or
17	pay the city something for that. So that value is
18	about 250 000 and the public roadway is about

19 1.6 acres.

The storage barn is the one that I
mentioned, that's the big property. That we
believe would be used for nitrogen removal
equipment at the northwest corner of the treatment
facility. That is valued at half a million. It

- 1 includes 3.6 acres and it does have environmental
- 2 hazards on it. We don't know what the extent of
- 3 that is.
- 4 Our phase 1 that we had conducted in
- 5 2003 suggested that there could be PCB's from
- 6 transformers, asbestos, lead, various other
- 7 things. There's a lot of barrels and stuff that
- 8 have unknown liquids in them, so they've
- 9 recommended we do a phase 2 to clarify that.
- 10 There's also an underground storage tank
- 11 that we can't seem to locate. That means that
- 12 500,000 number will probably be reduced as a

13 result of having to do some remediation. So	13	So we'l	re
--	----	---------	----

- 14 probably in the next month or so going to suggest
- 15 that we do the phase 2, which we've gotten a price
- 16 of somewhere between 6 and 9,000, just so that we
- 17 can get a number and then reduce the value of the
- 18 storage garage by that amount.
- 19 This right here is the northern end of
- 20 Inge. I don't know if you know where that is, but
- 21 it's actually, if you looked out this window right
- 22 here, it's the end of the street. It's our old
- 23 Eco Depot site. We had a huge piece of property
- 24 there that we refer to as the Inge property.

- 1 The whole southern part we have sold, we
- 2 sold about a year ago, and this very small
- 3 portion, which is about 2.1 acres, is still there.
- 4 One of our thoughts when we were
- 5 thinking about approaching the city about getting
- 6 the dog pound was perhaps giving them this piece
- 7 of property, which is valued at about 380,000, and
- 8 building them a dog pound because obviously we
- 9 would have displaced that. We don't know if
- 10 that's still a doable thing. I'll explain a
- 11 little further what that has come to mean.
- 12 The things that we're talking about

- 13 right now are obviously the main one, which is the
- 14 compliance with the RIPDES permit and our nitrogen
- 15 removal requirement. A few people have asked why
- 16 don't we just straightforward condemn. Federal
- 17 law actually requires that you absolutely sit down
- 18 with the owner and try to work out a voluntary
- 19 acquisition. It's a little grayer in state law,
- 20 but the courts and the law still prefer you
- 21 obviously do it voluntarily if you can, especially
- 22 displacing something like the dog pound.
- 23 I had met last week with Adrian
- 24 Southgate, who is the deputy city solicitor for

- 1 Providence, and she had told me that while the dog
- 2 pound is an important thing to the city, the salt
- 3 barn or the storage barn, they were contemplating
- 4 using that as transfer station, which is a bigger
- 5 need she believes for the city.
- 6 So Ray received a phone call yesterday
- 7 from Carol Grant from the city and she has
- 8 requested that we meet to discuss those
- 9 prioritize. Obviously the police are in charge of
- 10 the dog pound, DPW is in charge of the transfer
- 11 station, so we're going to try to meet and try to
- 12 get everybody together and figure out what we can

- 13 do.
- 14 Remediation, as I told you, we're going
- 15 to go forward and get a number on that, figure out
- 16 what we're really looking at in terms of cost. Of
- 17 course, one of the reasons we would want to help
- 18 the city in terms of either building a transfer
- 19 station or a dog pound is for the good PR that it
- 20 gives us, much like the DOT building that we built
- 21 when we took the land up in Providence for the CSO
- 22 project.
- We do know that there is an RFP/RFQ out
- 24 for the storage garage. They were looking to see

- 1 if they could find some use for it, or if they
- 2 could convert it to a transfer station. Nothing
- 3 really came of that. So at the moment, it's still
- 4 sitting.
- 5 The abandonment of Service Road, I
- 6 already explained to you. We also need to leave
- 7 some access to our Narragansett Electric
- 8 substation, which is on the Inge property.
- 9 MR. LAZIEH: You're referring to a
- 10 transfer station, would it be a city controlled
- 11 transfer station or private?
- 12 MS. HORRIDGE: I don't know.

- 13 That's a good question. The city has nothing to
- 14 do with NBC. Whether or not they would privatize,
- 15 I have no idea.
- So just to give you an idea of where the
- 17 numbers fall out, if Service Road is 250, the dog
- 18 pound is 165, and the storage garage were 500,
- 19 that would be roughly 915,000. Our original
- 20 proposition was to give them the northern end of
- 21 Inge and build them a new dog pound. That's sort
- 22 of a back of the envelope calc as to how much it
- 23 would cost to build them a dog pound.
- 24 However, as I said, first of all, we've

- 1 got to take something out of the 500,000 for the
- 2 remediation that needs to be done and I'm not sure
- 3 now that they necessarily want a dog pound, they
- 4 might want a transfer station more. So we'll have
- 5 to work on that.
- 6 What we did in the DOT case is the
- 7 building that they wanted was significantly more
- 8 than the value of the property. We only put in up
- 9 to the amount of the value of the property and
- 10 then DOT had to pay the remainder. The same would
- 11 occur here. We would only be involved up to the
- 12 amount of money that the land is actually worth.

13 So the next thing is we will meet with the city solicitor and whoever from the city to 14 discuss their priorities. We'll conduct a phase 15 2. And if we can do it, we'll enter into a 16 17 memorandum of understanding or agreement like we 18 did with DOT. 19 If not, we'll initiate the condemnation proceedings because we feel that we need the 20 21 property. Are there any questions? **22** MR. LAZIEH: Question. You had the map up there previously. 23 MS. HORRIDGE: I can go back to 24 60 it. 1 MR. LAZIEH: Keep in mind that 2 3 property. MS. HORRIDGE: Right. I'll show 4 you where it is on the first one; is that what you 5 6 want to see? 7 MR. LAZIEH: Yes. 8 MS. HORRIDGE: Actually, right here is the portion of Inge that I was just 9 talking about, the northern portion right there. 10 11 The whole rest of this has been sold. 12 MR. LAZIEH: The gray is our

- 13 property?
- 14 MS. HORRIDGE: This is ours, and
- 15 this is ours, and the green is all the city, and
- 16 the pink has been sold all the way up to about
- 17 here, where the railroad tracks are.
- 18 So when we were talking about flipping
- 19 the dog pound and putting it over here, the
- 20 problem is there's definitely an access issue for
- 21 them, so I can understand how -- tucked so far
- 22 back in, they might not want to do that.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Laurie, locate the
- 24 security fence for us.

- 1 MS. HORRIDGE: I think it's right
- 2 here.
- 3 MR. LAZIEH: The security fence
- 4 is?
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: The fence right
- 6 there. Laurie, can you point? There's a fence
- 7 right there that blocks off Service Road. So you
- 8 can't continue, which is also a safety issue which
- 9 has not escaped me because a number of trailer
- 10 trucks, ignoring signage down here as they come
- 11 in, they come right around and the wind-up is they
- 12 can't go through. It's a safety hazard as well.

13	MS. HORRIDGE: That would actually
14	require someone to go all the way from up on
15	Harborside, J&W, and come all the way around the
16	other way. I can understand why it's
17	inconvenient. The other thing is the city may
18	have another location in mind.
19	MR. LAZIEH: My concern initially
20	was that since we own the property and the
21	property may be of interest to us for further
22	development in the future, then why transfer it
23	over to the city and build a dog pound where we
24	may need it in the future again, but looking at
	62
1	62 it, you pointing out the security fence, that side
	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side
2	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as
2	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as inside the fence which is more advantageous.
2 3 4	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as inside the fence which is more advantageous.  THE CHAIRMAN: I have to give you
2 3 4 5	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as inside the fence which is more advantageous.  THE CHAIRMAN: I have to give you some perspective. Initially back in 2003, the
2 3 4 5 6	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as inside the fence which is more advantageous.  THE CHAIRMAN: I have to give you some perspective. Initially back in 2003, the consideration for doing a campus unification was
2 3 4 5 6 7	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as inside the fence which is more advantageous.  THE CHAIRMAN: I have to give you some perspective. Initially back in 2003, the consideration for doing a campus unification was more for efficiency purposes and we thought we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it, you pointing out the security fence, that side of the fence is not as advantageous to us as inside the fence which is more advantageous.  THE CHAIRMAN: I have to give you some perspective. Initially back in 2003, the consideration for doing a campus unification was more for efficiency purposes and we thought we might have a need so we thought it was best to

12 process of a discussion with the city, it kind of

- 13 languished along, back in 2003, okay, we'll talk,
- 14 but now we're at a point where we have to take
- 15 some very specific action to secure the property.
- 16 But at that time, that property which is
- 17 the purple section, was available. There was no
- 18 need or intended use for it, and it was available.
- 19 So we suggested a possible location for the dog
- 20 pound, but since that time the city has suggested
- 21 a location near Roger Williams Park.
- 22 MS. HORRIDGE: I just found out,
- 23 you and I were saying, how could that be. It
- 24 actually was there. Prior to 1974, it was in

- 1 Roger Williams Park, so that's the reason it's
- 2 been brought up again.
- THE CHAIRMAN: So now the city's
- 4 saying there's two things. One, maybe we don't
- 5 want you do the dog pound for us. Maybe we'd like
- 6 to have you cooperate with us in developing a
- 7 transfer station, which is the first thing.
- 8 And the second thing I've just learned
- 9 and Laurie just learned is that the city now has
- 10 indicated to us that they have several sites
- 11 around the city which would be appropriate either
- 12 for -- well, at least for the dog pound.

13	The transfer station, I'm sure, is an
14	entirely different matter, but at least for the
15	dog pound, which is our immediate concern, they
16	have several locations around the city which would
17	be appropriate according to them. So we'll
18	probably end up not doing anything with that
19	purple piece and the city with regards to the dog
20	pound at this time.
21	MR. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, am I
22	correct in remembering that part of that road
23	across there is a paper road?
24	THE CHAIRMAN: There's a paper
	64
1	64 road
1 2	
2	road
2	road MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road
2	road MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.
2 3 4	road  MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.  THE CHAIRMAN: It runs this way.
2 3 4 5	road  MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.  THE CHAIRMAN: It runs this way.  It runs across the Hudson property.
2 3 4 5 6	road  MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.  THE CHAIRMAN: It runs this way.  It runs across the Hudson property.  MS. HORRIDGE: This one right
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.  THE CHAIRMAN: It runs this way.  It runs across the Hudson property.  MS. HORRIDGE: This one right here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.  THE CHAIRMAN: It runs this way.  It runs across the Hudson property.  MS. HORRIDGE: This one right here.  THE CHAIRMAN: That's the one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	road  MS. HORRIDGE: No. The paper road is further down.  THE CHAIRMAN: It runs this way.  It runs across the Hudson property.  MS. HORRIDGE: This one right here.  THE CHAIRMAN: That's the one that's right over here. Runs across the is

- 13 could be extended. It's a pretty severe grade
- 14 there. So I guess a determination has been made
- 15 by the Port of Providence and the city that it
- 16 wouldn't be cost effective to do that, they've
- 17 evaluated it.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Campbell.
- 19 MR. CAMPBELL: The property where
- 20 the construction is taking place for the tunnel,
- 21 is that Field's Point property?
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Where the
- 23 pumping station is.
- 24 MR. CAMPBELL: Pumping station and

- 1 the shaft and all the equipment and everything.
- 2 So once that construction is completed, that will
- 3 be vacated?
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Since you
- 5 raised that issue, you're kind of ahead of me a
- 6 bit, but Ray and I have already discussed some
- 7 possible uses of that property.
- 8 The configuration is an odd
- 9 configuration, so we don't know exactly what, if
- 10 anything, can be put there, but years ago when we
- 11 embarked on this project, we knew we were going to
- 12 have some surplus property there and so we talked

- 13 to the city, just briefly, about when they were
- 14 involved and what was going on here, and we knew
- 15 we were going to have some surplus property.
- 16 So we thought and this, of course, is
- 17 going to be for consideration of the board at some
- 18 future point, that that property could be
- 19 utilized, depending on the configuration, which,
- 20 Ray, do we have anybody looking at that yet?
- 21 MR. MARSHALL: No, not yet.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Anyway, we're
- 23 looking at the configuration of the property and
- 24 its orientation and our thought was that if it's

- 1 surplus property and the Department of
- 2 Environmental Management has no objection, we'd
- 3 like to try to do something that is community
- 4 oriented, maybe a soccer field, maybe not a soccer
- 5 field, but a baseball field or Little League
- 6 field.
- 7 The Washington Park area really has not
- 8 a lot of recreational space available to them. We
- 9 thought it would be a tremendous contribution to
- 10 the Washington Park area. It's something we're
- 11 starting to look at. There's really nothing in
- 12 significant detail for me to bring to the board at

13	this time, but it's a discussion that has been
14	taking place previously and we believe it's time

- 15 now to start to advance that discussion, so that
- 16 in the next three, four months?
- 17 MR. MARSHALL: Yes.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: The next three or
- 19 four months, we'll have something to present.
- 20 Maybe it's not viable at all. Maybe it's only
- 21 good for a basketball court, I don't know, but
- 22 some recreational function for Washington Park.
- MR. MARSHALL: We need to maintain
- 24 access to certain portions of it so we can get in

- 1 and out of the pump station, of course, and the
- 2 tunnel itself and the removal system at the end of
- 3 the tunnel. And of course the railroad tracks cut
- 4 that into a one-third two-third parcel also, which
- 5 isn't reflected on that plan you see before you.
- 6 But there's probably going to be a few
- 7 areas that we probably won't need, especially if
- 8 we gain ownership of the dog pound area and the
- 9 garage that we spoke about earlier.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Any other
- 11 questions. Okay. Thank you. We're running later
- 12 than we normally do because we started a little

```
later, but that concludes the Chairman's report.
13
         Next order of business is New Business.
14
   If there's any New Business to come before the
15
16
   board? New Business of any nature?
17
         Other Business? Is there any Other
   Business?
18
19
            MR. LAZIEH: Move to adjourn.
20
            THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to
   adjourn.
21
22
            MR. DiCHIRO: Second.
23
            MR. ANDRADE: Second.
24
            THE CHAIRMAN: Seconded by
                                     68
   Commissioner DiChiro and Commissioner Andrade.
   All in favor of adjournment will say aye. Are
2
   there any opposed? There are none opposed. Thank
3
   you very much and the meeting is adjourned. Thank
4
   you for your patience today. I'm sorry we ran
5
6
   over.
          (ADJOURNED AT 12:28 P.M.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
```